Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Government,

HONORABLE GEORGE CARAM STEEH

v.

No. 12-20063

DENSO CORPORATION,

Defendant.

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING HEARING

Monday, March 5, 2012

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: KATHRYN HELLINGS, ESQ. Assistant U.S. Attorney

For the Defendant: STEVEN F. CHERRY, ESQ.

To Obtain Certified Transcript, Contact: Ronald A. DiBartolomeo, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard, Room 238 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 962-1234

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1			E	x	н	В	I	т	s		
2	Identification	on							Offered	Received	
3											
4			N		0		N		E		
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
		12-2006	3;	USA	v.	DEI	NSO	CO	RPORATION		

1	Detroit, Michigan
2	Monday, March 5, 2012
3	
4	
5	THE CLERK: Case Number 12-20063, United
6	States of America versus Denso Corporation.
7	THE COURT: Good morning.
8	MS. HELLINGS: Good morning.
9	MR. CHERRY: Good morning.
10	THE COURT: Who will be talking for the
11	government?
12	MS. HELLINGS: Katie Hellings for the
13	government.
14	THE COURT: Good morning. For the defense?
15	MR. CHERRY: Steve Cherry, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. Do you have
17	a corporate representative today?
18	MR. CHERRY: Yes. My name is Steve Cherry.
19	I am with Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr, and my
20	colleagues with me is Thomas Mueller and Stephanie Wood.
21	The company's representative is Steve Zarowny, the general
22	counsel for Denso International America, Inc., but he's
23	been authorized to speak for Denso Corporation.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. CHERRY: And his colleague is Yasushi
	12-20063; USA v. DENSO CORPORATION

"Kirk" Katsumi, also from the Denso International America Legal Department.

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.

The Court has been presented this morning with a proposed waiver of indictment and acknowledgement of the information in this case, and a proposed plea agreement. So I assume from these documents that the corporation is going to be pleading guilty to the charge of conspiracy to restrain trade, is that right?

MR. CHERRY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HELLINGS: Your Honor, in addition, the government filed a motion to seal Exhibit A to the plea agreement, and just ask that your Honor please grant that motion when the plea agreement is also filed later today.

THE COURT: So the plea agreement will be become a part of the Exhibit A?

MS. HELLINGS: No. So there is an Exhibit A to the plea agreement, which we would like to have filed under seal. So the plea agreement can be filed, but Exhibit A we would ask that to be filed under seal.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes. I took a glance. We seem to have two different versions of Exhibit A. I'm not sure which one. Let me look.

MS. HELLINGS: Exhibit A is under Tab A of

1 plea agreement. 2 THE COURT: Okay. I have that. 3 MS. HELLINGS: Okay. THE COURT: The Court will -- and I'm 4 5 assuming that you're joining in that request? 6 MR. CHERRY: Yes, we are, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The Court will grant both the 8 request to seal Exhibit -- well, Exhibit A is the only 9 request? 10 MS. HELLINGS: That's right, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Under seal. 12 MS. HELLINGS: One additional point, if I may 13 your Honor, Paragraph 8c of the information, there's a 14 small error in it that I just want to note for the record. 15 Turning to 8c, it says: Agreeing, during those meetings, conversations and communications to allocate the 16 17 supply of ECU's sold to an automobile manufacturer in the 18 United States and elsewhere on a model-by-model basis, and 19 I just wanted to note for the record that the ECU's is 2.0 actually a standardized product and it's interchangeable 21 across models. So it's not actually sold on a 22 model-by-model basis, and I just wanted to note that for 23 the record. THE COURT: Okay. Very fine. And you're in 24

12-20063; USA v. DENSO CORPORATION

agreement with as well counsel?

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHERRY: I am, your Honor. As long as we're talking about the information, there's just one other point that we would like to make. There are a few places in the information that refer to components being shipped from Japan to the United States to be put in cars manufactured in the United States. We're not aware of that happening with respect to our company. We understand from Ms. Hellings that that may have happened with respect to other companies, and so we just wanted to point out that that's not something that we are aware of. We don't dispute that it may have happened with others. MS. HELLINGS: Not with said company in this conspiracy. THE COURT: I see. Okay. That would not be in the essential element of this charge --MS. HELLINGS: No. **THE COURT:** -- is that right? MR. CHERRY: That's right. MS. HELLINGS: That's right.

THE COURT: Very fine. Thanks.

MS. HELLINGS: And the issue with the ECU is not part of the plea agreement at all.

THE COURT: Very good. Thanks. All right.

So I think if we have you and your client step up

1	to the podium, we'll administered an oath. If you would
2	raise your right hand, sir.
3	
4	(Defendant sworn in by deputy clerk.)
5	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Would you state your name
7	for us?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Steve Zarowny.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Zarowny, you are acting today
10	as an authorized representative of Denso Corporation?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
12	THE COURT: And that authorization was by a
13	board resolution?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay. You have signed apparently
16	several documents including an acknowledgement of the
17	information filed in this case?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And you have gone over that
20	document carefully with your counsel?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: You believe that you understand
23	it?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: You understand the nature of the
	12-20063; USA v. DENSO CORPORATION

charges? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And you -- and on behalf of your client, do you waive the reading of this information, 4 counsel? 5 6 MR. CHERRY: We do, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And you understand the 8 maximum penalty for the violation of conspiracy to restrain trade? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So you know that the Court may 12 consider statutorily a maximum of \$100 million as a fine 13 or twice the gross pecuniary gain the conspirators derive 14 from the crime, or twice the gross pecuniary loss caused 15 to the victims of the crime by the conspiracy. You understand those are the maximum penalties involved? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And you signed this document 19 entitled "waiver of indictment"? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And you understand that permits 22 the Court to proceed to consider a plea based upon the 23 information which is a document written by the government 24 without the participation of a grand jury? 25 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you understand in that 1 2 connection that the company has a right to require 3 consideration of potential charges in this case by a grand 4 jury? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: And you know that a grand jury is 7 made up of 26 or more citizens from the community who 8 would hear evidence presented by the government if the 9 grand jury were involved in this case? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And at least 23 of those folks 12 would have to vote to charge in an indictment the 13 corporation with some conduct before the charges could 14 proceed? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: By waiving your right to 16 17 indictment, you're essentially waiving the right to have 18 this matter reviewed and considered by a grand jury, and 19 the possibility that the grand jury would refuse to return 20 an indictment, you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Understood, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And you're making that waiver 23 voluntarily? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: You also signed this Rule 11 plea

agreement, is that right? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And you've gone over this document with your counsel carefully? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Again, you understand that the 7 plea agreement contemplates a plea of guilty to the charge 8 of conspiracy to restrain trade? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And again, you understand the 11 maximum penalty as I've described it already this morning? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: And as a part of this agreement 14 if the Court accepts it, the corporation can anticipate a 15 sentence which would include a fine of -- I saw it here earlier --16 17 MS. HELLINGS: Seventy-eight million. 18 THE COURT: -- \$78 million, you understand 19 that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And as a part of this agreement, 22 the government would -- has promised not to bring other 23 criminal charges against the corporation for anything 24 occurring up to the date of the plea in this case today, 25 today's date or sentencing?

MS. HELLINGS: Today's date is fine. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. You understand that, 3 sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: That promise however, would not 5 6 insulate or protect the corporation from potential civil 7 penalties that may be imposed, you understand that? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And it would not protect the 10 corporation from civil lawsuits by other aggrieved 11 parties, you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: And it would not protect the 14 corporation from potential tax liability for the 15 misconduct? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You understand the sentence 17 18 imposed would not include a term of probation or 19 supervision in this matter? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And there would be no restitution 22 ordered with this agreement if accepted by the Court. The 23 question of restitution would apparently be left to the 24 civil cases to determine, you understand that? 25 THE DEFENDANT: We understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Have there been any 1 2 promises made to the corporation that are not contained in 3 this Rule 11 agreement causing the corporation to plea guilty today? 4 5 MR. CHERRY: No, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Has this plea of quilty been 7 motivated by pressure, duress or mistreatment of any kind? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: You believe the corporation is 9 10 pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because it is 11 guilty of the offense? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: You understand that if the Court 14 accepts the plea of quilty and proceeds to sentence in 15 this case, that the corporation is giving up a number of important legal rights that it otherwise had in the case? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And those rights most 19 significantly include the right to appeal the conviction and the sentence imposed by the Court as long as the 20 21 sentence is in accord with the agreement reached here? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Understood, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. In addition, it includes 24 the right to have a trial instead of pleading guilty, you 25 understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: We understand. 1 2 THE COURT: In that connection there are a 3 number of rights that I need to review with you. You understand, and the corporation does, it has 4 5 the right to be represented by an attorney throughout the 6 case including the trial, sentence and appeal of the 7 matter? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You understand that as we 9 10 discussed it, it has the right to be charged by an 11 indictment rather than by information? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And that as a corporation 13 14 organized and existing under the laws of Japan, it had the 15 right to decline to accept service of the summons, and to contest the jurisdiction of the United States to prosecute 16 17 the case against it in this court? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: You understand that it had the right to plead not guilty to these criminal charges? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And to have a trial where it 23 would be presumed not guilty of the charges unless and 24 until the United States proved -- would prove each 25 essential element of the charged offenses beyond a

reasonable doubt? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And in this connection, of 3 course, it had the right to have this matter considered by 4 a jury to make the decision as to its guilt or innocence 5 6 in the case? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And assuming a jury trial, any jury verdict would have to be unanimous, that is, each and 9 10 every juror among the 12 jurors hearing the case would 11 have to agree before a verdict could be returned? 12 THE DEFENDANT: We understand, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Under some circumstances the 14 corporation could waive its right to a jury trial and have 15 the Court alone make a decision? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You understand that the attorney 18 for the corporation would have the opportunity to cross 19 examine all of the witnesses called by the government to testify against it? 2.0 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And again, if found guilty, the 23 corporation would have the right to appeal the conviction? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: And the sentence that the Court

1 may impose for the violation? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: You understand again, by pleading guilty, there won't be a trial. So the corporation is 4 5 waiving or giving up all of the rights that we just discussed? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: In terms of the elements or parts of the charge that the government is required to prove 9 10 beyond a reasonable doubt, you understand that the first 11 among those would require the government to demonstrate at 12 a trial that the -- there was a conspiracy or agreement reached among individuals and or other companies to 13 restrain trade? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And that the trade involved 16 17 affected interstate or foreign commerce? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And that the -- your company, 2.0 Denso Corporation, voluntarily joined into this criminal 21 agreement or conspiracy to restrain trade in the manner 22 which is set forth in the information that you had a 23 chance to read? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: You have to prove -- do you have

to prove 5,000 employees or something as well? 1 2 MS. HELLINGS: No, that's part of the 3 culpability, but we would have to show that the defendant knowingly entered into the agreement. 4 THE COURT: Okay. So as a part of 5 6 voluntarily and knowingly entering into this agreement, 7 you understand Denso Corporation would have to be shown to 8 understand the object or purpose of the criminal agreement reached? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Well, understanding 12 then the likely consequences of this plea of guilty and 13 understanding the rights that the corporation is giving up 14 in order to do so, is it still your wish to tender this 15 plea of guilty on behalf of the defendant? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: How then does Denso Corporation 18 wish to plea, guilty or not guilty? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: And would you like to describe 20 21 what you believe the corporation did in order to be found 22 guilty of this charge? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 24 anticipation of your question, I prepared some notes which 25 I will be referring to.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

THE DEFENDANT: Denso Corporation is a Japanese company with its headquarters in the city of Kariya, Japan. It employs more than 5,000 people.

Denso International America, Inc. or DIAM, is a U.S. subsidiary of Denso Corporation. I will refer to them collectively as Denso.

As to the first count, Denso manufacturers, among other things, electronic control units or ECU's, including one type commonly referred as a body ECU.

During the period listed in the information, approximately January 2000 to February 2010, certain managers and employees of Denso had discussions and meetings with competitors that also manufactured and sold body ECU's.

During these discussions and meetings, agreements were reached to allocate the supply of body ECU's sold to an automobile manufacturer, rig bids quoted to this automobile manufacturer for these body ECU's, and to fix, stabilize and maintain the prices of body ECU's sold to this automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere.

Body ECU's sold by Denso and or the competitors, related equipment and supplies and payments for the body ECU's traveled interstate and or foreign commerce, and

2.0

substantially affected interstate and or foreign trade and commerce.

The meetings and discussions with competitors took place in the United States and elsewhere, and body ECU's that were the subject of the conspiracy were sold to the automobile manufacturer by Denso Corporation's U.S. subsidiary, which is located in the Eastern District of Michigan.

During the relevant period for purposes of this plea agreement, Denso had approximately \$237 million of sales of body ECU's to this automobile manufacturer.

As to the second count, Denso also manufactured heater control panels during the period listed in this information, approximately January 2000 to February 2010.

Certain managers and employees of Denso had discussions and meetings with competitors that also manufactured and sold heater control panels.

During these discussion and meetings, agreements were reached to allocate the supply of heater control panels sold to an automobile manufacturer to rig bids quoted to this automobile manufacturer for heater control panels, and to fix, stabilize and maintain the prices of heater control panels sold to this automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere.

Heater control panels sold by Denso and or the

competitors, related equipment and supplies and payments for the heater control panels traveled interstate and or foreign commerce, and substantially affected interstate and or foreign trade and commerce.

The meetings and discussions with competitors took place in the United States and elsewhere, and heater control panels that were the subject of the conspiracy were sold to the automobile manufacturer by Denso Corporation's U.S. subsidiary, which is located in the Eastern District of Michigan.

During the relevant period for purposes of this plea agreement, Denso had approximately \$211 million of sales of heater control panels to this automobile manufacturer.

THE COURT: All right. Is counsel satisfied with the factual basis advanced here?

MS. HELLINGS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. CHERRY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And are counsel also satisfied with the balance of the plea and its -- that it conforms with the applicable court rules?

MS. HELLINGS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. CHERRY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court is also satisfied that the plea is voluntary, knowledgeable and accurate. The

elements of the charge have been established by the testimony received.

The Court will accept the plea of guilty, and having reviewed the plea agreement as well as the sentencing memorandum that the Court has received, I'm satisfied that the plea agreement itself may be received at this point as well.

Accordingly, we will proceed to the sentencing phase, inasmuch as I understand both counsel is requesting that the Court waive the preparation of a Pre-Sentence Reports; both counsel being satisfied that the memorandum, background information provided would allow the Court to meaningfully entertain and apply the factors that must be considered by the Court in determining an appropriate sentence in the matter.

Is that also accurate?

MR. CHERRY: Yes, it is, your Honor.

MS. HELLINGS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What on behalf of the defendant would you like the Court to consider in determining a sentence, sir?

MR. CHERRY: Your Honor, Denso sincerely regrets its conduct which led to these proceedings, but it is committed to making things right. It's committed to the terms of the plea, which provide for a significant

2.0

sentence, a fine of \$78 million. Seven of our individuals remain subject to potential criminal prosecution.

We also note that Denso's immediate cooperation, the substantial nature of its cooperation, the burdens it imposed on the company, we are committed to full and continuing corporation throughout the government's investigation and any prosecution. We understand the cooperation has been very beneficial to the government.

We also note the company request that there be no term of probation. The company is again committed to full cooperation. It has to do that and intends to do that.

We've also instituted an enhanced compliance procedures, enhanced training, policies. The company has implemented at the highest level a compliance committee chaired by the executive vice president of global operations, which is responsible for insuring compliance with the law.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

Ms. Hellings, on behalf of the government?

MS. HELLINGS: We would rest on our sentencing memorandum, Exhibit A, to the plea agreement. Do you any questions or if you would like to discuss it with us any further, we can meet with you in camera to do so, but otherwise I would rest on the papers.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

On behalf of the corporation, are there any additional comments from your representative, Mr. Zarowny? THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, Denso deeply regrets this conduct. We apologize and take full responsibility for our conduct. Denso is a company that takes it corporate social responsibility seriously, and we are strongly committed taking all steps necessary to comply with the law. commitment includes our continued and complete cooperation with the ongoing government investigation. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Well, the Court has had an opportunity to review the sentencing memorandum submitted here, and has considered the request for a sentence of a fine in the

amount of \$78 million. The method for calculating that fine is set forth in the memorandum which will be a part of public information in this file.

The guideline calculation is also set forth which --

MS. HELLINGS: Your Honor, it is worth noting the sentence memorandum was filed under seal. So it wouldn't be publicly available.

THE COURT: Okay. I thought it was only Exhibit A.

MS. HELLINGS: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, the Court is persuaded by the documents submitted that the -- that the controlling guideline is found at Section 2R1.1(d)(1), and based upon the volume of commerce assessed, the base fine is 20 percent of that volume, which would translate to something just under \$90 million, 89.6 million.

In terms of the culpability score that is determined, the base score is five. There is an upward addition of five points for the fact that Denso employs more than 5,000 employees, and the -- and there is an enhancement of three levels for the fact that there was behavior on behalf of the corporation to obstruct or impede justice, including the destruction of some documents, as well as a downward adjustment of two points for self-reporting the violations by the defendant ultimately, resulting in a total culpability score of 11.

The fine range then which flows from this basic calculation yields a range of 197.1 to 394.2 million dollars to be considered, along with the other factors under the sentencing statute in determining an appropriate sentence in this case.

Here, the government's request for fine includes consideration of substantial assistance provided by the defendant to its investigation of price fixing in connection with both the electronic control units as well

as heater control panels in this industry, and cooperation --

MS. HELLINGS: Your Honor, if I may, some of this needs to stay under seal.

THE COURT: Yes, and the full extent of that cooperation is the subject of information which has been filed under seal with the Court, which the Court has reviewed, and based upon that and the level of departure, then believed commensurate with the assistance as described in more detail in the memorandum, the ultimate request for a fine of \$78 million, the Court finds to be commensurate with the objectives of the sentencing statute at Section 3553(a), which would include a consideration of the general seriousness of the violation, obviously one of great consequence to the markets and the ultimate consumers of parts, and the need for a sentence that would fairly deter future contact -- or conduct by Denso Corporation and other actors in the auto supply industry from similar violations in the future.

Given the fact that several individuals remain exposed to possible criminal consequences for this occurrence, that there are a multiplicity of civil actions that are pending which will undoubtedly exact additional damages for the offense, the Court is persuaded that limiting the criminal consequences to a \$78 million fine

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fairly accounts for the conduct, its seriousness and need to deter this corporation and others from similar conducts in the future. Accordingly, and pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the Court will order that the defendant pay a fine for the violation in the amount of \$78 million, will order a special assessment in the amount of \$400 for the violation in addition. MS. HELLINGS: For each of the counts, and there are two counts. THE COURT: For each of the two counts for total of \$800, and will not otherwise order a term of probation, and in terms of the payment of this fine --MS. HELLINGS: I understand the defendant wants to pay by wire transfer. If you could make that part of the judgment, that would be helpful. THE COURT: Okay. So that will be paid forthwith by wire transfer or within --MS. HELLINGS: Within 15 days. MR. CHERRY: Within 15 days, your Honor. THE COURT: We will include that as a part of the judgment.

Is there anything else that you believe should be included as part of this judgment?

MR. CHERRY: Your Honor, I think just the

fact that the waiver of restitution because of the civil. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Given again the civil 3 actions that are pending in court, the Court will not be ordering restitution or other costs that might otherwise 4 5 might be imposed under the statute. Is there a need for the Court to retain 6 7 jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the plea agreement? 8 MS. HELLINGS: I don't think so, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. You're in 9 10 agreement of that, counsel? 11 MR. CHERRY: We are, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. So that will be the 13 extent of the judgment that is to be entered by the Court. I won't be advising the defendant of a right to 14 15 appeal the conviction and sentence inasmuch as that has been waived by the plea agreement, but we will get this 16 17 judgment entered today. 18 MS. HELLINGS: Thank you, your Honor. 19 MR. CHERRY: Thank you, your Honor. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 21 22 23 24 (Proceedings concluded.) 25

CERTIFICATION

I, Ronald A. DiBartolomeo, official court reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.

Date

Ronald A. DiBartolomeo, CSR Official Court Reporter